

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/670,798	09/26/2003	Masanao Kunugi	Q77716	4370
7590 04/19/2005			EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW			GOODROW, JOHN L	
	C 20037-3213		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
5 ,			1756	
			DATE MAILED: 04/19/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/670,798	KUNUGI ET AL.			
Office Action Guillinary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	John L. Goodrow	1756			
Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wit	n the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rr - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re eply within the statutory minimum of thirty od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tute, cause the application to become ABA	rply be timely filed r (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a)☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☑ Th	a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☒ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application	on.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	lar alastian ranviron est				
on Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	nor election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action of form P10-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 					
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 					
application from the International Bure		eceived in this National Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	eceived.			
•					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ımmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0-Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/04.		ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office	Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20050413			

Application/Control Number: 10/670,798

Art Unit: 1756

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 1. What does the regulating member regulate? How does it press the toner? Claim 2. What is a particle size segment? What is a charge quantity segment? Claim 3. The toner is a reverse polarity to what, the image or other toner particles. Claim 4. What is a charge quantity segment? Claims 5-9. How are the various items determined in the control step?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein

Page 3

were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tazawa et al in view of Hosoya et al and Ishihara et al. Applicants' invention is to the use in an electrophotographic process a toner with a size and charge relationship that lessens problems of fogging, scattering, and transfer dust. Tazawa et al teaches the means for achieving a high image quantity of images, toners used in developers may e made to have sharp charge quantity distributions. When toners have a sharp charge quantity distribution, individual toner particles constituting the toner can be charged in a uniform quantity. Hence, images formed may have less fog or black spots around the images. In general the charge quantity of the toner particle s is proportional to the particle diameter of the toner. Note Col. 2 lines 10-30. The toners have a small diameter and a sharp particle size distribution with a sharp charge quantity distribution. Note Col. 10 lines 30-50. The toner can be used as a one-component type developer. Note Col.24 lines 32-45 & Col. 26 lines 50-68. Tazawa fails to teach the relationship between the residual toner charge and a regulating member or the relationship of the charge and particle size. Hosoya et al teaches the relationship of the charge of the toner and the physical states of the developed image note Col.15 example 5 and Ishihara Fig 4 shows the relationship between the charge and the size of a toner used in an electrophotographic

Art Unit: 1756

developer. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicants' invention with a reasonable expectation of success to use the known relationships between the charge of the toner and the size of the toner in the developer of Tazawa et al to improve the developed images according to the charges on the toner and the size of the toner particles.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John L. Goodrow whose telephone number is 571-272-1384. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark F. Huff can be reached on 571-272-1385. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> John L Goodrow **Primary Examiner**

Art Unit 1756